

EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Offic**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

04/26/99 09/299,539

MUNOZ-ESCALONA LAFUENTE

B-3643-61707

IM22/0516

LADAS & PARRY 5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD **SUITE 2100** LOS ANGELES CA 90036-5679

PASTERCZYK, J **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1755

EXAMINER

DATE MAILED:

05/16/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/299,539

Applicant(s)

Lafuente et al.

Examiner

J. Pasterczyk

Group Art Unit 1755

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 26, 1999	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire1 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-9	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
X Claims 1-9	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Note Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09/299539

Art Unit: 1755

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a heterogeneous catalyst, classified in class 502, subclass 102.
- II. Claim 8, drawn to an olefin polymerization process, classified in class 526, subclass 160.
- III. Claim 9, drawn to a metallocene compound, classified in class various depending on the metal, subclass various depending on the metal.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially-different product, such as a Ziegler-Natta or chromium oxide catalyst.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as a pigment for a UV light filter. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/299539

Art Unit: 1755

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, the former to polymerize olefins, the latter to filter UV light.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to John Palmer, Esq., on 5/4/00 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Pasterczyk whose telephone number is (703) 308-3497. Our fax number is 305-5433.

Application/Control Number: 09/299539

Art Unit: 1755

Mark L. Bell
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

J. Pasterczyk

May 9, 2000